

## Some Properties of $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operators

Nareen Bamerni <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region – Iraq

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we define  $\Gamma$ -transitive operators to study some further properties of  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operators. In particular, we study  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic criterion which is a set of some sufficient conditions for an operator to be  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic. We use these conditions to partially answer an open problem in the literature. In particular, we show that if  $\Gamma = r\mathbb{D}$  and  $T$  satisfies  $\Gamma$ -criterion, then  $T$  is supercyclic.

**Keywords:** Supercyclic operator, diskcyclic operators,  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operators.

### 1. Introduction

An operator  $T$  is called hypercyclic if there is a vector  $x \in X$  such that  $Orb(T, x) = \{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $X$ , such a vector  $x$  is called hypercyclic for  $T$ . The notion of hypercyclic operator comes from the much older notion of cyclic operators. The first example of hypercyclic operators in a Banach space was constructed by Rolewicz (Rolewicz, 1969). He proved that if  $B$  is a backward shift on the Banach space  $l^p(\mathbb{N})$  then  $\lambda B$  is hypercyclic for any complex number  $\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$ . This led the authors in (Hilden and Wallen, 1974) to consider the scaled orbit of an operator. An operator  $T$  is supercyclic if there is a vector  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathbb{C}Orb(T, x) = \{\lambda T^n x : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $X$ , where  $x$  is called supercyclic vector. Also, an operator  $T$  is called diskcyclic if there is a vector  $x \in X$  such that the disk orbit  $\mathbb{D}Orb(T, x) = \{\lambda T^n x : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $X$  such a vector  $x$  is called diskcyclic for  $T$  (Zeana, 2002). For more information on these concepts, one may refer to (Bayart and Matheron, 2009; Bamerni and Kilicman, 2016). We recall the following Lemma from the literature, which is needed in the main section.

**Lemma 1.1.** (Bamerni and Kilicman, 2015)

Let  $T \in B(X)$ . Suppose that  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers,  $D_1, D_2 \in X$  are two dense sets and  $S: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  a map such that

1.  $\|T^{n_k} x\| \|S^{n_k} y\| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $x \in D_1$  and  $y \in D_2$ ,
2.  $\|S^{n_k} y\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $T^{n_k} S^{n_k} y \rightarrow y$  for each  $y \in D_2$ .

Then  $T$  is said to be satisfied diskcyclic Criterion, and  $T$  is diskcyclic operator.

For a non-empty subset  $\Gamma$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , an operator  $T$  is called  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\Gamma Orb(T, x) = \{\lambda T^n x : \lambda \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $X$  (Charpentier et al, 2016). It is clear from  $\Gamma$ -supercyclicity notion that:

1. If  $\Gamma = \{1\}$ , then  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic is hypercyclic,
2. If  $\Gamma = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic is supercyclic,
3. If  $\Gamma = \mathbb{D}$ , then  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic is diskcyclic,

In 2019, the author in (Abbar, 2019) studied  $\Gamma$ -supercyclicity for strongly continuous semigroups. In particular, he characterized a set  $\Gamma$  in which  $\Gamma$ -supercyclicity for strongly continuous semigroups coincides to hypercyclicity.

In the main section, we give some characterizations to  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operators. In particular, we define  $\Gamma$ -transitive operators. Then, we show that an operator  $T$  is  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic if and only if  $T$  is  $\Gamma$ -transitive. Also, we give some sufficient conditions for an operator to be  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic which is called  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic criterion. The authors in (Charpentier et al, 2016) ask for which sets  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$ -supercyclicity equivalent to supercyclicity, he gives a partial answer to this question when  $\sigma_p(T^*)$  is non-empty. Therefore, we give another partial answer to this problem. In particular, we show that if  $\Gamma = r\mathbb{D}$  and  $T$  satisfies  $\Gamma$ -criterion, then  $T$  is supercyclic. it changed during time and what were the factors affecting it.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, all Banach spaces are infinite dimensional separable over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. The set of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space is denoted by  $B(X)$ . Also, we denote set of all  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operators on a Banach space by  $\Gamma\text{SC}(X)$  and the set of all  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic vectors for an operator  $T$  by  $\Gamma\text{SC}(T)$ .

### Definition 2.1.

A bounded linear operator  $T \in B(X)$  is called  $\Gamma$ -transitive if for any pair  $U, V$  of nonempty open subsets of  $X$ , there exist  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , and  $n \geq 0$  such that  $T^n(\alpha U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  or equivalently, there exist  $\alpha \in \Gamma^c$ , and  $n \geq 0$  such that  $T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

### Proposition 2.2.

Let  $T_1, T_2 \in B(X)$  such that  $T_1T_2 = T_2T_1$  and the range of  $T_2$  ( $R(T_2)$ ) be a dense set in  $X$ . If  $x \in \Gamma\text{SC}(T_1)$ , then  $T_2x \in \Gamma\text{SC}(T_1)$ .

#### Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } x \in \Gamma\text{SC}(T_1), \text{ then } \overline{\Gamma\text{Orb}(T_1, x)} &= \overline{\{\lambda T_1^n x : \lambda \in \Gamma, n \geq 0\}} = X \text{ and} \\ \overline{\Gamma\text{Orb}(T_1, T_2x)} &= \overline{\{\lambda T_1^n T_2x : \lambda \in \Gamma, n \geq 0\}} \\ &= \overline{\{\lambda T_2 T_1^n x : \lambda \in \Gamma, n \geq 0\}} \\ &\supseteq T_2(\overline{\{\lambda T_1^n x : \lambda \in \Gamma, n \geq 0\}}) \\ &= T_2(X) = R(T_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Gamma\text{Orb}(T_1, T_2x)$  is dense in  $X$  and hence  $T_2x \in \Gamma\text{SC}(T_1)$ .

### Corollary 2.3

If  $x$  is  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic vector for  $T$  then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T^n x$  is  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic vector for  $T$ .

### Corollary 2.4.

The set  $\Gamma\text{SC}(T)$  is dense in  $X$ .

### Proposition 2.5.

Every  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operator on  $X$  is  $\Gamma$ -transitive.

#### Proof

Let  $T$  be a  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operator, then,  $\Gamma\text{SC}(T)$  is dense. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two open sets, then there exist an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and a non-negative integer  $p$  such that  $\alpha T^p x \in U$ . Now, we can choose  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  and  $n \geq p$  such that  $\lambda/\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $\lambda T^n x \in V$ . Thus  $T^{n-p}(\lambda/\alpha)U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and so  $T$  is  $\Gamma$ -transitive.

### Proposition 2.6.

Every  $\Gamma$ -transitive operator is  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic and

$$\Gamma\text{SC}(T) = \bigcap_k \left( \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Gamma^c \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} T^{-n}(\lambda B_k) \right)$$

is a dense  $G_\delta$  set, where  $\{B_k\}$  is a countable open basis for  $X$ .

#### Proof

We have  $x \in \Gamma\text{SC}(T)$  if and only if the set  $\{\lambda T^n x : \lambda \in \Gamma, n \geq 0\}$  is dense in  $X$  if and only if for each  $k > 0$ , there exist  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda T^n x \in B_k$  if and only if

$$x \in \bigcap_k \left( \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Gamma^c \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} T^{-n}(\lambda B_k) \right)$$

If and only if

$$\Gamma\text{SC}(T) = \bigcap_k \left( \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Gamma^c \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} T^{-n}(\lambda B_k) \right).$$

Since  $\Gamma\text{SC}(T)$  can be written as a countable intersection of open sets, then  $\Gamma\text{SC}(T)$  is a  $G_\delta$  set. Moreover, it follows from the Baire category theorem that  $\Gamma\text{SC}(T)$  is dense if and only if each open set  $F_k = \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Gamma^c \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} T^{-n}(\lambda B_k)$  is dense; i.e, if and only if for each non-empty open set  $U$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  one can find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Gamma^c$  such that

$$U \cap T^{-n}(\lambda B_k) \neq \emptyset$$

Since  $\{B_k\}$  is a countable open basis for  $X$  this is equivalent to the  $\Gamma$ -transitivity of  $T$ .

The following theorem gives some equivalent assertions to  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic.

**Theorem 2.7.**

Let  $T \in B(X)$ . The following statements are equivalent.

1.  $T \in \Gamma\text{SC}(X)$ ,
2. For each  $x, y \in X$ , there exist sequences  $\{x_k\} \in X, \{n_k\} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{\lambda_k\} \in \Gamma$  such that  $x_k \rightarrow x$  and  $T^{n_k} \lambda_k x_k \rightarrow y$ .
3. For each  $x, y \in X$  and each neighborhood  $M$  of the zero in  $X$ , there exist  $z \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $x - z \in M$  and  $T^n \lambda z - y \in M$ .

**Proof**

1  $\Rightarrow$  2: Let  $x, y \in X$  and let  $B_k = \mathbb{B}\left(x, \frac{1}{k}\right), C_k = \mathbb{B}\left(y, \frac{1}{k}\right)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . From (1) and Proposition there exist sequences  $\{x_k\} \in X, \{n_k\} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{\lambda_k\} \in \Gamma$  such that  $x_k \in B_k$  and  $T^{n_k} \lambda_k x_k \in C_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then,  $\|x_k - x\| < 1/k$  and  $\|T^{n_k} \lambda_k x_k - y\| < 1/k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

2  $\Rightarrow$  3: From the proof of the last part, if we take  $z = x_k$  for a large enough  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

3  $\Rightarrow$  1: Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two non-empty subsets of  $X$ . Let  $M$  be a neighborhood of zero, let  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Then there exist  $z \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $x - z \in M$  and  $T^n \lambda z - y \in M$ . It follows that  $z \in U$  and  $T^n \lambda z \in V$  and so  $T^n \lambda U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.8.**

Let  $T \in B(X)$ , and let  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers and  $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Gamma$  such that there exists

1. A dense subset  $D_1 \in X$  such that  $\|\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} x\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in D_1$ .
2. A dense subset  $D_2 \in X$  and a mapping  $S: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  such that  $\|\lambda_{n_k}^{-1} S^{n_k} y\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $T^{n_k} S^{n_k} y \rightarrow y$  for all  $y \in D_2$ .

Then  $T$  is said to be satisfied  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic Criterion with respect to the sequence  $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $T$  is an  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic operator.

**Proof**

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two open sets in  $X$ . Then we can find  $x \in D_1 \cap U$  and  $y \in D_2 \cap V$ . By hypothesis, there exists a large positive integer  $k$  and a small positive integer  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} x\| < \varepsilon/2, \quad \|\lambda_{n_k}^{-1} S^{n_k} y\| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ and } \|T^{n_k} S^{n_k} y - y\| < \varepsilon/2$$

Let  $z = x + \lambda_{n_k}^{-1} S^{n_k} y$ , then

$$\|z - x\| = \|\lambda_{n_k}^{-1} S^{n_k} y\| < \varepsilon/2$$

it follows that  $z \in U$ . Now, we have

$$\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} z = \lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} x + T^{n_k} S^{n_k} y$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} z - y\| &= \|\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} x + T^{n_k} S^{n_k} y - y\| \\ &\leq \|\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} x\| + \|T^{n_k} S^{n_k} y - y\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It means that  $\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} z \in V$ , and so  $\lambda_{n_k} T^{n_k} U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

By **Definition 2.1.** and **Proposition 2.6.**  $T$  is  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic.

The authors in (Charpentier et al, 2016) ask for which sets  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$ -supercyclicity equivalent to supercyclicity. He gives a partial answer to this question when  $\sigma_p(T^*)$  is non-empty. Now, if  $\Gamma = r\mathbb{D}$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{C}; r \neq 0$  and  $T$  satisfies  $r\mathbb{D}$ -criterion, then the following theorem gives another partial answer to question 3 of (Charpentier et al, 2016).

First, we need the following definition.

**Definition 2.9.**

An operator  $T$  is called  $r\mathbb{D}$ -supercyclic for some positive integer  $r$  if there exists a vector  $x$  such that the set  $\lambda T^n x: n \geq 0, 0 \leq |\lambda| \leq r$  is dense in  $X$ . In this case the vector  $x$  is called  $r\mathbb{D}$ -supercyclic vector for  $T$ .

**Theorem 2.10.**

$r\mathbb{D}$ -supercyclic criterion is equivalent to diskcyclic-criterion.

**Proof**

Suppose that  $T$  satisfies the hypothesis of **Theorem 2.8.** for  $\Gamma = r\mathbb{D}$ , then it is easy to show that  $\|T^{n_k} x\| \|S^{n_k} y\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|S^{n_k} y\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in D_1$  and  $y \in D_2$ . It follows that the hypothesis of **Lemma 1.1.** satisfy.

Conversely, suppose that  $T$  satisfies the hypothesis of **Lemma 1.1.** Let  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converges to zero. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D_1$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D_2$  be two countable dense subsets in  $X$ . By hypothesis of **Lemma 1.1.**, for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  we have  $\|S^{n_k} y_j\| < \varepsilon_k, T^{n_k} S^{n_k} y_j \rightarrow y_j$  and

$$\|T^{n_k} x_i\| \|S^{n_k} y_j\| < \varepsilon_k^2 \tag{1}$$

Suppose that for each  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\lambda_{n_k} = \frac{r}{\varepsilon_k} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{\|S^{n_k} y_j\|\}$$

It follows that  $\lambda_{n_k} \in r\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $k$ , and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{n_k}} \|S^{n_k} y_j\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{r} \text{ for all } j \leq k$$

By Equation (1), for all  $i \leq k$ , we have

$$\lambda_{n_k} \|T^{n_k} x_i\| = \frac{r}{\varepsilon_k} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{\|S^{n_k} y_j\|\} \|T^{n_k} x_i\| < r \varepsilon_k$$

Now, the proof follows when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Corollary 2.11.**

If  $T$  satisfies  $\Gamma$ -supercyclic criterion for  $\Gamma = r\mathbb{D}$ , then  $T$  is supercyclic operator.

**3. REFERENCES**

[1] Abbar, A. (2019).  $\Gamma$ -supercyclicity for strongly continuous semigroups. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 13, 3923-3942. doi.org/10.1007/s11785-019-00941-y

[2] Bamerni, N., Kilicman, A. & Noorani, M. S. M. (2016). A review of some works in the theory of diskcyclic operators, " *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 39, 723-739. doi.org/10.1007/s40840-015-0137-x

[3] Bamerni, N., & Kilicman, A. (2015). Operators with diskcyclic vectors subspaces, *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, 9, 414-419. doi.org/10.1016/j.jtusci.2015.02.020.

[4] Bayart, F., & Matheron E., (2009). *Dynamics of linear operators*, 179. Cambridge University Press. doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511581113

[5] Charpentier, S., Ernst, R., & Menet, Q. (2016).  $\Gamma$ -supercyclicity. *Journal of Functional Analysis*. 270. 4443-4465. doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2016.03.005

[6] Hilden, H., & Wallen L. (1974). Some cyclic and non-cyclic vectors of certain operators. *Indiana University Mathematics*, 23, 557-565. https://www.jstor.org/stable/24890788.

[7] Rolewicz, S. (1969). On orbits of elements. *Studia Mathematica*, 1, 17-22. https://eudml.org/doc/217370.

[8] Zeana, J. (2002). *Cyclic Phenomena of operators on Hilbert space*. PhD thesis, Thesis, University of Baghdad. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343040923\_CYCLIC\_PHENOMENA\_OF\_OPERATORS\_ON\_HILBERT\_SPACE.